

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: June 17, 2010
Electronic Signature for Sharon M. Sintich: /Sharon M. Sintich/

Docket No.: 29915/00281CUS
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Riqiang Yan et al.

Application No.: 10/801,509

Confirmation No.: 4081

Filed: March 16, 2004

Art Unit: 1639

For: SUBSTRATES AND ASSAYS FOR BETA-
SECRETASE ACTIVITY

Examiner: J. S. Lundgren

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION IN RESPONSE TO
NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is a response to the non-final Office Action mailed March 17, 2010, in which pending claim 94 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In addition, claims 84, 85, 87-92, 94-107, 109 and 110 are provisionally rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. This response is timely filed.

Amendments to the Specification: None

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 9 of this paper.